

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/092,933	03/06/2002		John C. Karamanos	0003-029	7363	
7	590	04/04/2003				
Larry E. Henr		·.	EXAMINER			
Henneman & S 714 W. Michig	an Avenu			WAYNER, WILLIAM E		
Three Rivers, MI 49093				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3744	6	
				DATE MAILED: 04/04/2003	2	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1-8 - 0 40 4		
Office Action Summary	10/09/293	OF COMIN	KARAMAI	NOS ETI	
	Examiner W. WAY	NER	Group Art Unit 3744		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover shee	et beneath the c	orrespondence a	ddress	
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAI	LING DATE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defau Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta 	reply within the statutory m	inimum of thirty (30 from the mailing da	days will be consider	ed timely.	
Status / /	•				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/6/	02				
☐ This action is FINAL .					
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19			the merits is clo	sed in	
Disposition of Claims					
Claim(s) 1-42	Claim(s) 1-42				
Of the above claim(s)	is/are	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
XClaim(s) 3 4 − 4 2	is/are	is/are allowed.			
χ Claim(s) 1, 2, 7-9, 13, 20, 22, 3	is/are	_ is/are rejected.			
\times Claim(s) $3-6$, $10-12$, $14-19$, 21		is/are objected to.			
□ Claim(s)			bject to restriction	or election	
Application Papers		require	ement.		
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi	ng Review, PTO-948.				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	• •	• •	d.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obje	cted to by the Examine	r.		•	
The enceitionies is abjected to but the Cuestines					
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)					
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority to a claim f	f the priority documents	have been			
 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority u □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. 	f the priority documents	have been			
 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority to a claim forei	f the priority documents per) ternational Bureau (PC	s have been T Rule 1 7.2(a)).			
 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority u □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numble received in this national stage application from the Interest Cortified copies not received: 	f the priority documents per) ternational Bureau (PC	s have been T Rule 1 7.2(a)).			
 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority to a claim foreign priority	f the priority documents per) ternational Bureau (PC	s have been T Rule 1 7.2(a)).	 -		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority u ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number of the Certified copies not received: *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	f the priority documents per) ternational Bureau (PC	t have been T Rule 1 7.2(a)). ∃ Interview Sumi	 -	ion, PTO-152	

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No. 5

Application/Control Number: 10/092,933

Art Unit: 3744

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Desmarais.

Desmarais shows an ventilation control unit 10 having a plenum 12, a flow controller 20 and a flow sensor 16, 17,40 mounted between the plenum and flow controller.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negative by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7, 8, 9, 13, 22, 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Desmarais, as applied above in view of Elsea. Shows a ventilation control unit with a thermal coil connected to a plenum upstream of a flow controller 19. In order to provide more versatility of room temperature control it would have been obvious from Elsia to provide a heat exchange corl downstream of 16, 17 in the primary reference.

Application/Control Number: 10/092,933

Art Unit: 3744

Claims 3 - 6, 12 - 12. Claims 3 - 6, 14 - 19, 21, 24 - 33 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise L. Esquivel can be reached on 703-308-2597. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7764 for regular communications and 703-308-7764 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0861.

WEW/ts March 31, 2003

William Wayne: **Primary Examiner** Man Wayner

Page 3